

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: I. WATANABE, et al.

Serial No.: 09/762,823

Filed: APRIL 23, 2001

Title: ADHESIVE FOR BONDING CIRCUIT MEMBERS, CIRCUIT BOARD AND PROCESS FOR ITS PRODUCTION

Group AU: 1714

Examiner: Edward J. Cain

Confirm. No.: 9887

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**Mail Stop: AMEND – NO FEE**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

August 17, 2006

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed May 17, 2006, Applicants respectfully submit the following arguments traversing the nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejections, the sole rejections of claims in this Office Action mailed May 17, 2006.

That is, all of the claims presently being considered on the merits in the above-identified application, claims 1-3, 5-9, 11, 12, 14, 16-20 and 31-34, are rejected on the ground of obviousness-type double patenting, over claims 1-26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,328,844 and over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,034,331. Each of these obviousness-type double patenting rejections is respectfully traversed. As will be shown in the following, it is respectfully submitted that no claim of U.S. Patent No. 6,328,844, and no claim of U.S. Patent No. 6,034,331, would have disclosed or would have suggested the subject matter claimed in claims 1-3, 5-9, 11, 12, 14, 16-